Monitoring and Addressing Discipline Disproportionality

Using Discipline Data



By the end of this session, you will...

- Be aware of the pervasive and harmful impact of discipline disproportionality on kids
- Use data to monitor for discipline disproportionality
- Use data to identify likely cause of disproportionality
- Know general strategies to address common causes of disproportionality

5

What this session is not

• Deep dive into *how* to implement strategies





Discipline Disproportionality: All other things being equal, some students receive harsher consequences for disciplinary infractions based on their membership in a demographic group.



African American Students are more likely...

- Receive an ODR
- Receive corporal punishment
- Be suspended or expelled



McFadden, Marsh, Price, and Hwang, 1992

Skiba, Chung, Trachok, Baker, Sheya, and Hughes, 2014; Losen and Skiba, 2010; Costenbader and Markson, 1998; McFadden, Marsh, Price, and Hwang, 1992; Gordon, Piana, and Kelecher, 2000; Losen and Gillespie, 2012; Losen, et al., 2015; Petras, et al., 2011; Raffaele-Mendez, Knoff, and Ferron, 2002; Skiba, Nardo, Michael, and Peterson 2002; Raffaele- Mendez and Knoff, 2003

LatinX and Native American Students are more likely...

- Be punished
- Be suspended or expelled



Peguero and Shekarkhar, 2011 Gordon, Piana, and Kelecher, 2000; Losen and Gillespie, 2012

LGBTQ students are expelled more frequently than are students who identify as heterosexual



Poor students are more likely to be suspended or expelled than are students from higher SES families



Boys are more likely than are girls to be ...

- punished
- suspended or expelled

Constenbader and Markson, 1998; Raffaele-Mendez, Knoff, and Ferron, 2002; McFadden, Marsh, Price, and Hwang, 1992

African American Boys are more likely than other boys to be suspended or expelled

Constenbader and Markson, 1998; Raffaele-Mendez, Knoff, and Ferron, 2002; McFadden, Marsh, Price, and Hwang, 1992

Students with disabilities are more likely to be suspended

Losen and Gillespie, 2012; Losen et al., 2015

African American Boys with disabilities are **5** ½ times more likely to be suspended or expelled than other students



These relationships hold when we control for behavior and poverty!



Himmelstein and Bruckner, 2011; Skiba, Nardo, Michael, and Peterson, 2002; Skiba, et al., 2014; Blake, Butler, Lewis, and Darensbourg, 2011; Gregory and Weinstein, 2008; Peguero & Shekarkhar, 2011; Raffaele-Mendez and Knoff, 2003; Skiba, et al., 2002; Skiba, Horner, Chung, Rausch, May, and Tobin, 2011

African American Students are more likely to be suspended for *discretionary offenses*

- disrespect,
- disruption,
- defiance,
- attendance problems, and
- failure to show for detention



Skiba, Nardo, Michael, and Peterson, 2002; Bain and MacPherson, 1990; Cooley, 1995; Raffaele-Mendez and Knoff, 2003; Skiba et al., 1997; Gregory and Weinstein, 2008; Morgan-D'Atrio, Northrup, LaFleur, and Spera, 1996; Richart, Brooks, and Soler, 2003; Rosen, 1997; Brooks, Schiraldi, and Ziedenberg, 1999; Dupper and Bosch, 1996; Skiba et al., 1997

African American girls are more likely to be suspended for violating *white middle class norms* of femininity





Harsh punishments harm kids!



Denies Students Opportunity to Learn



Gregory, Bell, and Pollock (2014); Skiba, Arredondo, and Williams (2014)

Creates feelings of alienation



Increases Unexpected Behaviors



Increases Risk of Dropout



Suspension...

Increases Risk of Arrest and Incarceration



Think, Turn, Talk

- Are *all* students disciplined equitably at your school?
- How do you know?





Did the Is there a source of the source of t



What can be done about the problem? Why is the problem

Tilly 2008

Early Warning System

• Risk Index

Ę

- Risk Ratio
- Compositional Effects



Risk Index: An expression of the likelihood that a demographic group will experience the outcome of interest *at least once!*



Number of students in group receiving outcome at least once = Risk Index

Number of students in group



15 students with IEPs have 1 or more ODR





18 students with IEPs have 1 or more ODR



<mark>21</mark> students with IEPs have 1 or more ODR





<mark>26</mark> students with IEPs have 1 or more ODR

= <mark>1.00</mark>



Risk Ratio: A measure of the likelihood that a demographic group will experience an outcome of interest compared to a comparison group



Risk Index of Target Group

= Risk Ratio

Risk Index of All Other Groups



RI for students with IEPs 0.58

= 1.93

RI for students without IEPs 0.30





	SWIS Norms 25th Percentile (2011- 2012 data)	SWIS Norms 50th Percentile (2011- 2012 data)	EEOC 4/5ths Rule for Disparate Impact
Equity	0.62 to 1.38	0.16 to 1.84	0.80 to 1.25
How to interpret	Target group is more than 1.38 times as likely to experience outcome	Target group is more than 1.84 times as likely to experience outcome	Target group is more than 1.25 times as likely to experience outcome
Recommended Use	Schools with low risk ratios	Schools with high risk ratios	Any context, any outcomes





Think, Turn, Talk

- At your school, do you have an electronic data management system that enables you to access information needed to run risk indices, risk ratios, and compositional metrics?
 - SWIS
 - MO SW-PBS Data Collection Tool
- If not, or if you don't know, what is your next ste[p(s)?





Did the intervention work? Is there a problem?



What can be done about the problem? Why is the problem?

Tilly 2008
What are Possible Causes?

Possible Causes of Disproportionality

- Inadequate implementation
- A misunderstanding of expectations
- An academic achievement gap
- Lack of student engagement
- Explicit or systematic bias
- Implicit bias

Inadequate Implementation

- Safe, welcoming environment
- Positive relationships
- Predictable



Misunderstanding of Expectations

- 82% of teachers are white
- 55% of the public school student population are students of color

Ambiguity is Bias's Best Friend!





Academic Achievement Gap



Lack of Student Engagement

Ę





Ę





Implicit Bias

Implicit bias is unconscious or unintentional influence of stereotypes in decision making. Although unintentional and unconscious, if left unaddressed, implicit bias *harms students*.

- 1. We *all* have them!
- 2. They are not necessarily aligned to our values!
- 3. We are more likely to act on them in ambiguous situations, that call for quick decisions, and when we are stressed!

Vulnerable Decision Points

Ę





Harvard Project Implicit

https://implicit.harvard.edu/implicit/takeatest.html

How Do We Identify Possible Causes

Of discipline disproportionality



Data Sources to Identify Possible Causes

- Implementation Fidelity Data
- Patterns of Office Discipline Referral Data
- Academic Achievement Data



Implementation Fidelity

- Self Assessment Survey (SAS) >80%
- Tiered Fidelity Inventory (TFI) >70%
- Schoolwide Evaluation Tool (SET) >80%/80%



Classroom Observations

 Are all students receiving high rates of specific positive feedback compared to reprimands?



Gion, C. (under review)

Academic Data

- Disaggregated by demographic subgroups
- Compare to ODR Patterns
 - Location
 - Time of day
 - Who

Ē

Office Discipline Referral Patterns

- How Often?
- What?

Ē

- Where?
- When?
- Who?



ODR Patterns

Ę

- Demographic Group
 - What

- New New

- Defiance
- Disrespect
- Disruption

- Misunderstanding of expected behavior
- Inadequate implementation

Drill Down



- Demographic Group
 - Where
 - When
 - Who

Vulnerable Decision Point

- Specific location and time of day
- Specific teachers

Indicator of *Implicit Bias*





- Drilldown
 - Disproportionality is consistent across many settings

Explicit/Systematic Bias



Did the Is there a intervention work?

What can Why is the be done problem about the happening? problem?

Tilly 2008

Possible Causes of Disproportionality

- Inadequate implementation
- A misunderstanding of expectations
- An academic achievement gap
- Lack of student engagement
- Explicit or systematic bias
- Implicit bias

(McIntosh et al., 2014)

Think, Turn, Talk

• Thinking about the possible causes, what are some possible practices that would address these causes.



Implement SW-PBS!

• All staff!

- All settings!
- All students!



Inadequate Implementation

- Identify opportunities for growth
 - Clear, operationally defined expectations
 - Clear, operationally defined *unexpected behaviors*
 - Teach and practice expected behavior
 - High, equitably distributed rates of reinforcement
 - Decision rules for classroom managed and office managed behaviors
 - Consistent, standardized procedures for responding to unexpected classroom managed behaviors
 - Consistent, standardized procedures for responding to unexpected office managed behaviors

Misunderstanding of Expectations

- Identify opportunities for growth
 - Clear, operationally defined expectations
 - Clear, operationally defined *unexpected behaviors*
 - Teach and practice expected behavior
 - High, equitably distributed rates of reinforcement
 - Decision rules for classroom managed and office managed behaviors
 - Consistent, standardized procedures for responding to unexpected classroom managed behaviors
 - Consistent, standardized procedures for responding to unexpected office managed behaviors

Obtain Stakeholder Input in Operational Definitions of Unexpected Behaviors



Personal Matrix

Expectation	At school, it looks like	At home it looks like	In my neighborhood, it looks like
Be safe			
Be respectful			
Be responsible			

Gion, C. (under review)

Achievement Gap

Individual Students

- Individualized Intervention
- Frequent progress monitoring

Students at Risk

- Standard Protocol Interventions
- Rapid response
- Frequent progress monitoring

All Students

- Core Curriculum
- Core Instruction

Early Identification

Early Identification

Lack of Engagement: Curriculum

• Conduct a curriculum audit

Ē

• Are all students represented in the curriculum

Lack of Engagement: Instruction

- MO SW-PBS Effective Teaching and Learning Practices
 - Active Supervision
 - Opportunities to Respond
 - Activity Sequencing and Choice
 - Task Difficulty
- MO DESE Effective Teaching and Learning Practices
 - Assessment Capable Learners
 - Metacognition
 - Reciprocal teaching
 - Feedback

More info:

http://pbismissouri.org/ https://www.moedu-sail.org/

Explicit or Systematic Bias

- Enact strong anti-discrimination policies
 - Accountability for actions
- Commit to use data
- Hiring practices
- Professional development
- Evaluation



McIntosh, Girvan, Horner, Smolkowski, & Sugai, 2018; Pettigrew & Tropp, 2006;



- Identify Vulnerable Decision Points
- Create, practice, precorrect and implement "Neutralizing Routines"
 - Short "If...then..." statements



McIntosh, Girvan, Horner, Smolkowski, & Sugai, 2014 Mendoza, Gollwitzer, & Amodio, 2010





Tilly 2008

	Goal not met	Goal met
Plan not	Are there obstacles to	Look at data to determine
implemented	implementation?	why the goal was achieved,
	Yes : Modify plan to	so you can replicate
	eliminate the obstacles	
	No : Implement the plan	
Plan	Re-analyze data; develop	Plan for sustained
implemented	an alternate hypotheses;	implementation
	modify the plan to address	
	the alternative hypothesis	Return to data to identify a
		new problem to address

Think, Pair, Share

- What are three things you want to remember from today?
- What is one thing you will commit to doing?
- Be prepared to share



Questions?!?

Gordon Way, Ed.D. wayg@Missouri.edu @way_gordon

