

CHAPTER 10: REFERENCES AND RESOURCES

Chapter 1

- Alberto, P. A., & Troutman, A. C. (2012). *Applied behavior analysis for teachers* (8th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson.
- Baer, D., Wolf, M., & Risley, R. (1968). Some current dimensions of applied behavior analysis. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 1*, 91-97.
- Batsche, G. J., Elliott, J., Graden, J., Grimes, J., Kovalski, D., Prasse, D., and Tilly, (2005). *Response to Intervention: Policy Considerations and Implementation*. Alexandria, VA: National Association of State Directors of Special Education.
- Bracey, G. W. (1996). International comparisons and the condition of American education. *Educational Researcher, 25*(1), 5-11.
- Bryk, A.S., & Schneider, B. (2003). Trust in schools: A core resource for school reform. *Educational Leadership 60*, 40-45.
- Colvin, G. (2007). *7 steps for developing a proactive school discipline plan: A guide for principals and leadership teams*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Colvin, G., Kame'enui, E.J., & Sugai, G. (1993). Schoolwide and classroom management: Reconceptualizing the integration and management of students with behavior problems in general education. *Education and Treatment of Children, 16*, 361-381.
- Colvin, G. & Sugai, G. (1988). Proactive strategies for managing social behavior problems: An instructional approach, *Education and Treatment of Children, 11*(4), 341-348.
- Connell, J. P., & Wellborn, J. G. (1991). Competence, autonomy, and relatedness: A motivational analysis of self-system processes. In M.R. (Gunnar & L.A. Sroufe (Eds.) *The Minnesota Symposium on Child Psychology*, Vol. 22, (pp. 43-77). Hillsdal, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Costenbader, V., & Markson, S. (1998). School suspension: A study with secondary school students. *Journal of School Psychology, 36*, 59-82.
- Cotton, K. (1990). *Schoolwide and classroom discipline*. Portland, OR: Northwest Regional Educational Laboratory.
- Cotton, K. (1995) *Effective schools research summary: 1995 update*. Portland, OR: Northwest Regional Educational Laboratory.
- Crone, D., Hawken, L.S. & Horner, R.H. (2010). *Responding to problem behavior in schools: The behavior education program* (2nd ed.). New York: The Guilford Press.
- Crone, D., Hawken, L.S. & Horner, R.H. (2015). *Building positive support systems in schools, second edition: Functional behavioral assessment* (2nd ed.). New York: The Guilford Press.

- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2010). *Self-determination*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc..
- Discipline. In *Webster's New Collegiate Dictionary*, Retrieved January 30, 2014, from <https://www.merriam-webster.com>.
- Good, T. J., & Brophy, J. (2000). *Looking into classrooms* (8th Ed.) New York, NY: Longman Press.
- Greenwood, C.R., Delquadri, J., & Bulgren, J. (1993). Current challenges to behavioral technology in the reform of schooling: Large-scale, high-quality implementation and sustained use of effective educational practices. *Education and Treatment of Children*, 16(4), 401-404.
- Gresham, F.M. (1984). Assessment of Children's Social Skills. *Journal of School Psychology*, (19), No 2, pp. 120-33.
- Gordon, D. T. (2002). Fuel for reform: The importance of trust in changing schools. *Harvard Education Letter*, (18).
- Gottfredson, G.D., & Gottfredson, D.C. (1996). *A national study of delinquency prevention in schools*. Elicott City, MD: Gottfredson Associates.
- Hedges, W.D. (1991). How do you waste time? *Principal* (71), 37.
- Johnson, S. M. & Birkeland, S. E. (2003). Pursuing a "sense of success": New teachers explain their career decisions. *American Educational Research Journal* (40), 581-617.
- Kaufman, P. M., Chen, X., Choy, S.P., Peter, K., Ruddy, S. A., Miller, A.K. (2001) *Indicators of school crime and safety: 2001*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Education, U.S., Department of Justice.
- Latham, G. (1988). The birth and death cycles of educational innovations. *Principal*, 68(1), 41-43.
- Lipsey, M. W. (1991). The effect of treatment on juvenile delinquents: Results from metaanalysis. In E. Losel, D. Bender, & T. Bliesener (Eds.), *Psychology and law* (pp. 131-143). New York: Walter de Gruyter.
- Loman, S., Strickland-Cohen, M. K., Borgmeier, C., & Horner, R. (2013). *Basic FBA to BSP Trainer's Manual*. Retrieved from www.pbis.org.
- Losen, D.J. (2011). *Discipline Policies, Successful Schools, and Racial Justice*. Boulder, CO: National Education Policy Center. Retrieved [March, 2012] from <http://nepc.colorado.edu/publication/discipline-policies>
- Martinez, S., (2001). *Obstacles to improved student learning*. Unpublished manuscript. Kansas State Department of Education.
- Mathews, S., McIntosh, K., Frank, J. L., & May, S. L. (2014). Critical Features Predicting Sustained Implementation of School-Wide Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, Vol16(3), pp 168-178.
- McIntosh, K., Predy, L. K., Upreti, G., Hume, A. E., Turri, M. G., & Mathews, S. (2014). Perceptions of contextual features related to implementation and sustainability of school-wide positive behavior support. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 16(1), 31-43.
- National Education Goals Panel (1995). *1995 National education goals report*. Washington, D.C.; author.
- Reavis, K., Jensen, W., Kukic, S., & Morgan, D. (1993). *Utah's BEST project: Behavioral and educational strategies for teachers*. Utah State Office of Education. Salt Lake City, UT.

- Ryan, R. M. (1995). Psychological needs and the facilitation of integrative processes. *Journal of personality*, 63(3), 397-427.
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivations: Classic definitions and new directions. *Contemporary educational psychology*, 25(1), 54-67.
- Skiba, R. J., & Peterson, R. L. (2000). School discipline at a crossroads: From zero tolerance to early response. *Exceptional Children*, 66, 335-347.
- Skiba, R.J., Peterson, R.L., & Williams, T. (1997). Office referrals and suspension: Disciplinary intervention in middle schools. *Education & Treatment of Children*, 20 (3), 295-316.
- Sprick, R., Wise, B., Marcum, K., Haykim, M. & Howard, L. (2005). *Administrator's desk reference of behavioral management (Volumes I, II, III)*. Eugene, OR: Pacific Northwest.
- Sugai, G., & Horner, R. (1994). Including students with severe behavior problems in general education settings: Assumptions, challenges, and solutions. In G.S.J. Marr, & G. Tindel (Eds). *The Oregon Conference Monograph* (Vol. 6, pp. 102-120). Eugene, OR: University of Oregon.
- Sugai, G., & Horner, R. H. (1999). Discipline and behavioral support: practices, pitfalls and promises. *Effective School Practices*, 17(4), 10-22.
- Sugai, G., & Horner, R. (2006). A promising approach for expanding and sustaining schoolwide positive behavior supports. *School Psychology Review*, 35(2), 245-259.
- Sulzer-Azaroff, B. & Mayer, R. (1991). *Behavior analysis for lasting change*. Fort Worth, TX: Holt, Reinhart & Winston, Inc.
- Technical Assistance Center on Positive Behavior Interventions and Support, U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs (2010). *Implementation blueprint and self-assessment: positive behavioral interventions and support*. Retrieved from www.pbis.org.
- Trump, J. M. (1987). Instructional leadership—what do principals say prevents their effectiveness in this role? *NASSP Bulletin*. 71, 89-92.
- U.S. Department of Education (2014). Guiding principles: A resource guide for improving school climate and discipline. <http://www2.ed.gov/policy/gen/guid/school-discipline/index.html>
- Walker, H. M., Horner, R. H., Sugai, G., Bullis, M., Sprague, J. R., Bricker, D., & Kaufman, M. J. (1996). Integrated approaches to preventing antisocial behavior patterns among school-age children and youth. *Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders*, 4, 194-209.

Chapter 2

- Colvin, G. (2007). *7 steps for developing a proactive school discipline plan: A guide for principals and leadership teams*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Colvin, G., & Sprick, R. (1999). Providing administrative leadership for effective behavior support: Ten strategies for principals. *Effective School Practices*, 1, 65-71.
- Deal, T. E., & Peterson, K. D. (1999). *Shaping school culture: The heart of leadership*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass, Inc.

- Epstein, J.L., Sanders, M.G., Sheldon, S., Simon, B.S., Salinas, K.C., Jansorn, N.R., Van Voorhis, F.L., Martin, C.S., Thomas, B.G., Greenfield, M.D., Hutchins, D.J., & Williams, K.J. (2009). *School, family, and community partnerships: Your handbook for Action, 3rd ed.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Fixsen, D., Naoom, S.F., Blase, D.A., Friedman, R.M., Wallace, F. (2005). *Implementation Research: A Synthesis of the Literature.* University of South Florida, Louis de la Parte Florida Mental Health Institute, The National Implementation Research Network.
- Fullan, M. (2009). *The challenge of change.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Garmston, R. J. & Wellman, B. M. (2009). *The adaptive school: A sourcebook for developing collaborative groups.* Norwood, MA: Christopher-Gordon Publishers, Inc.
- Kameenui, E. J., & Carnine, D. W. (1998). *Effective teaching strategies that accommodate diverse learners.* Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Katzell, R. A. & Guzzo, R. A. (1983). Psychological approaches to productivity improvement. *American Psychologist*, 38, 468-472.
- Knight, J. (2009). What can we do about teacher resistance? *Phi Delta Kappan*, 90(7), 508-513.
- Mapp, K. (2006). *Family Involvement Equals Student Success No Matter Background.*
- McIntosh, K., Filter, K. J., Bennett, J., Ryan, C., & Sugai, G. (2010). Principles of sustainable prevention: Designing scale-up of school-wide positive behavior support to promote durable systems. *Psychology in the Schools*, 47, 5-21.
- Mathews, S., McIntosh, K., Frank, J. L., & May, S. L. (2014). Critical Features Predicting Sustained Implementation of School-Wide Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, Vol16(3), pp 168-178.
- McIntosh, K., Predy, L. K., Upreti, G., Hume, A. E., Turri, M. G., & Mathews, S. (2014). Perceptions of contextual features related to implementation and sustainability of school-wide positive behavior support. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 16(1), 31-43.
- Missouri Department of Elementary and Secondary Education. (2004). *Problem solving general education interventions to increase achievement.*
- Strickland-Cohen, M. K., McIntosh, K., & Horner, R. H. (2014). Effective Practices in the Face of Principal Turnover. *Teaching Exceptional Children*, 46(3), 19-25
- U.S. Department of Education (2014). Guiding principles: A resource guide for improving school climate and discipline. <http://www2.ed.gov/policy/gen/guid/school-discipline/index.html>

Chapter 3

- Brophy, J. & Evertson, C. (1976). *Learning from teaching: A developmental perspective.* Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Colvin, G. (2007). *7 steps for developing a proactive school discipline plan: A guide for principals and leadership teams.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

- Evertson, C. & Emmer, E. (2008) *Classroom management for elementary teachers* (8th Edition). Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Jones, V. F., & Jones, L. S. (1998). *Comprehensive classroom management (2nd. Ed.)*. Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- U.S. Department of Education (2014). Guiding principles: A resource guide for improving school climate and discipline. <http://www2.ed.gov/policy/gen/guid/school-discipline/index.html>
- Wong, H. K., & Wong, R. T. (2005, 4th Ed.). *The first days of school: How to be an effective teacher*. Mountain View, CA: Harry K. Wong Publications, Inc.

Chapter 4

- Algozzine, B., Wang, C., & Violette, A. S. (2011). Reexamining the relationship between academic achievement and social behavior. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 13(1), 3-16.
- Colvin, G. (2007). *7 steps for developing a proactive school discipline plan: A guide for principals and leadership teams*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Colvin, G., Sugai, G., & Patching, B. (1993). Precorrection: An instructional approach for managing predictable problem behaviors. *Intervention in School and Clinic*, 28(1), 143-150.
- Herner, T. (1998). Counterpoint. *NASDE*, p. 2.
- Horner, R. H., & Sugai, G. (2005). Schoolwide positive behavior support: An alternative approach to discipline in schools. (pp. 359-390). In L. Bambara & L. Kern (Eds.) *Positive Behavior Support*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Lewis, T. J., Newcomer, L. L., Trussell, R., & Richter, M. (2006). Schoolwide positive behavior support: Building systems to develop and maintain appropriate social behavior. In C. M. Everston & C. S. Weinstein (Eds.) *Handbook of classroom management: Research, practice, and contemporary issues* (pp. 833-854). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Lewis, T. J., & Sugai, G. (1999). Effective behavior support: A systems approach to proactive schoolwide management. *Focus on Exceptional Children*, 31(6), 1-24.
- Mathews, S., McIntosh, K., Frank, J. L., & May, S. L. (2014). Critical Features Predicting Sustained Implementation of School-Wide Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, Vol16(3), pp 168-178.
- McIntosh, K., & MacKay, L. D. (2008). Enhancing generalization of social skills: Making social skills curricula effective after the lesson. *Beyond Behavior*, 18(1), 18-25.
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivations: Classic definitions and new directions. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 25(1), 54-67.
- Scheuermann, B. K., & Hall, J. A. (2012). *Positive behavioral supports for the classroom, 2nd Ed.* Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Sprague, J. & Golly, A. (2005). *Best behavior: Building positive behavior support in schools*. Boston, MA: Sopris West Educational Services.

- Sugai, G., Hagan-Burke, S., & Lewis-Palmer, T. (2004). Schoolwide discipline and instructional classroom management: A systems approach. In C. B. Darch & E. J. Kame'enui (Eds.) *Instructional classroom management: A proactive approach to behavior management, 2nd Ed.* (pp. 218-248). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Sugai, G., & Horner, R. H. (2009). Responsiveness-to-intervention and schoolwide positive behavior support: Integration of multi-tiered system approaches. *Exceptionality, 17*, 223-237.
- Willingham, D. T. (2011). Can teachers increase students' self-control? *American Educator, 35*(2), 22-27.
- Wong, H. K., & Wong, R. T. (2005, 4th Ed.). *The first days of school: How to be an effective teacher*. Mountain View, CA: Harry K. Wong Publications, Inc.

Chapter 5

- Algozzine, B., Wang, C., & Violette, A.S. (2011). Reexamining the Relationship Between Academic Achievement and Social Behavior. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions 13*(1), 3-16.
- Beaman, R., & Wheldall, K. (2000). Teachers' use of approval and disapproval in the classroom. *Educational Psychology, 20*(4), 431-446.
- Brophy, J. H. (1981). Teacher praise: A functional analysis. *Review of Educational Research, 51*(1), 5-32.
- Cameron, J., Banko, K. M., & Pierce, W. D. (2001). Pervasive negative effects of rewards on intrinsic motivation: The myth continues. *The Behavior Analyst, 24*(1), 1.
- Colvin, G. (2007). *7 steps for developing a proactive school discipline plan: A guide for principals and leadership teams*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Colvin, G. (2007). *7 steps for developing a proactive school discipline plan: A guide for principals and leadership teams*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Davis, S. (2007). *Schools where everyone belongs: Practical strategies for reducing bullying*. Champaign, IL: Research Press.
- Decker, D.M., Dona, D.P., & Christenson, S.L. (2007). Behaviorally at-risk African American students: The importance of student-teacher relationships for student outcomes. *Journal of School Psychology 45*, 83-109.
- Florida Positive Behavior Support Project Website. (2006). Retrieved from: <http://www.flpbs.fmhl.usf.edu>
- Good, C.E., Eller, B.F., Spangler, R.S., & Stone, J.E. (1981). The effect of an operant intervention program on attending and other academic behavior with emotionally disturbed children. *Journal of Instructional Psychology, 9*(1), 25-33.
- Jones, V. F., & Jones, L. S. (1998). *Comprehensive classroom management (2nd. Ed.)*. Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Lane, K.L., Kalberg, J.R. & Menzies, H.M. (2009). *Developing schoolwide programs to prevent and manage problem behaviors: A step-by-step approach*. New York: Guilford.
- Lewis, T. J. (2002). Class presentation; University of Missouri: Columbia, MO.

- Maag, J.W. (2001). Rewarded by punishment: Reflections on the disuse of positive reinforcement in schools. *Exceptional Children*, 67(2), 173-186.
- Noguera, P. (1995). Preventing and producing violence: A critical analysis of responses to school violence. *Harvard Educational Review*, 65, 189-212.
- Reavis, Jenson, Kukic & Morgan (1993). *Utah's BEST project: Behavioral and educational strategies for teachers*. Salt Lake City, UT: Utah State Office of Education.
- Reinke, W. M., Herman, K. C., & Stormont, M. (2013). Classroom-level positive behavior supports in schools implementing SW-PBIS: Identifying areas for enhancement. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 15(1), 39-50.
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivations: Classic definitions and new directions. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 25(1), 54-67.
- Skinner, B. F. (1938). *The Behavior of organisms: An experimental analysis*. New York: Appleton-Century.
- Sprick, R., Knight, J., Reinke, W., & McKale, T. (2006). *Coaching classroom management: strategies and tools for administrators and coaches*. Eugene, OR: Pacific Northwest.
- Sutherland, K.S., Wehby, J.H. & Copeland, S.R. (2000). Effect of varying rates of behavior-specific praise on the on-task behavior of students with EBD. *Journal of Emotional and Behavior Disorders*, 8, 2-8.
- White, M. A. (1975). Natural rates of teacher approval and disapproval in the classroom. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Analysis*, 8(4), 367-372.
- Wong, H.K. & Wong, R.T. (2005, 4th Ed.). *The first days of school: How to be an effective teacher*. Mountain View, CA: Harry K. Wong Publications, Inc.

Chapter 6

- Alberto, P. A., & Troutman, A. C. (2012). *Applied behavior analysis for teachers* (8th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson.
- Algozzine, B., Wang, C., & Violette, A.S. (2011). Reexamining the relationship between academic achievement and social behavior. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions* 13(1), 3-16.
- Colvin, G. (2004). *Managing the cycle of acting out behavior in the classroom*. Eugene, OR: Behavior Associates.
- Colvin, G. (2007). *7 steps for developing a proactive school discipline plan: A guide for principals and leadership teams*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Costenbader, V., & Markson, S. (1998). School suspension: A study with secondary school students. *Journal of School Psychology*, 36, 59-82.
- Cotton, K. (1995) *Effective schools research summary: 1995 update*. Portland, OR: Northwest Regional Educational Laboratory.
- Dhaem, J. (2012). Responding to Minor Misbehavior through Verbal and Nonverbal Responses. *Beyond Behavior*, 21(3), 29-34.

- Gailliot M.T., Peruche B.M., Plant E.A., & Baumeister, R.F. (2009). Stereotypes and prejudice in the blood: Sucrose drinks reduce prejudice and stereotyping. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 45, 288-290.
- Gathercoal, F. (2004). *Judicious Discipline* (6th edition). San Francisco, CA: Caddo Gap Press.
- Gresham, F. M., Sugai, G., & Horner, R. H. (2001). Interpreting outcomes of social skills training for students with high-incidence disabilities. *Exceptional Children*, 67(3), 331-344.
- Lewis, T. J., & Sugai, G. (1999). Effective behavior support: A systems approach to proactive schoolwide management. *Focus on Exceptional Children*, 31(6), 1-24.
- Long, N. J., & Newman, R. G. (1980). Managing surface behavior of children in school. In N. J. Long, W. C. Morse, & R. G. Newman (Eds.), *Conflict in the classroom: The education of children with problems* (4th ed.). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.
- Losen, D.J. (2011). Discipline Policies, Successful Schools, and Racial Justice. Boulder, CO: *National Education Policy Center*. Retrieved [date] from <http://nepc.colorado.edu/publication/discipline-policies>
- Maag, J. (2001). Management of surface behavior: A new look at an old approach. *Counseling and Human Development*. Love Publishing Co. 2001. High Beam Research.
- May, S., Ard, W. Jr., Todd, A.W., Horner, R.H., Glasgow, A & Sugai, G., (2003). *Schoolwide information system*. Eugene: University of Oregon, Educational and Community Supports.
- Mayer, G.R., & Sulzer-Azaroff, B. (1990). Interventions for vandalism. In G. Stoner, M.R. Shinn, & H.M. Walker (Eds.), *Interventions for achievement and behavior problems* (monograph). Washington, DC: National Association of School Psychologists.
- McIntosh, K., Barnes, A., Morris, K., & Eliason, B. M. (2014). Using discipline data within SWPBIS to identify and address disproportionality: A guide for school teams. Eugene, OR: *OSEP Technical Assistance Center on Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports*, University of Oregon.
- Newcomer, L. L., Lewis, T. J., & Powers, L. J. (2002). *Policies and procedures to develop effective schoolwide discipline practices at the elementary school level* (Vol. Eds.). In J. Chapple, L. M. Bullock, & R. A. Gable (Series Eds.), *The sixth CCBD mini-library series: Safe, drug-free, and effective schools*. Reston, VA: The Council for Children with Behavioral Disorders and the Council of Administrators of Special Education.
- Ramstetter, M.R., C., Devore, C., Allison, M., Ancona, R., Barnett, S., & Okamoto, J. (2013). The crucial role of recess in school. *Pediatrics*, 131(1), 183-188.
- Reinke, W. M., Herman, K. C., & Stormont, M. (2013). Classroom-level positive behavior supports in schools implementing SW-PBIS: Identifying areas for enhancement. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 15(1), 39-50.
- Skiba, R. J., & Peterson, R. L. (1999). The dark side of zero tolerance: Can punishment lead to safe schools? *Phi Delta Kappan*, 80, 372-382.
- Skiba, R.J., Peterson, R.L., & Williams, T. (1997). Office referrals and suspension: Disciplinary intervention in middle schools. *Education & Treatment of Children*, 20(3), pp 295-316.
- Skinner, B. F. (1938). *The Behavior of organisms: An experimental analysis*. New York: Appleton-Century.
- Staats, C. (2014). *State of the science: Implicit bias review 2014*. Columbus, OH: Kirwan Institute.

- Storey, K., & Post, M. (2012). *Positive behavior supports in classrooms and schools: Effective and practical strategies for teachers and other service providers*. Springfield, IL: Charles C. Thomas Publishers, Ltd.
- Sugai, G. (2005). *School leadership team training reference materials*. Center on Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports: University of Oregon.
- Van Acker, R. (1993). Dealing with conflict and aggression in the classroom: What skills do teachers need? *Teacher Education and Special Education*, 16, 23-33.
- Walker, H. M. (2000). Investigating school-related behavior disorders: Lessons learned from a thirty-year research career. *Exceptional Children*, 66(2), 151-161.
- White, M. A. (1975). Natural rates of teacher approval and disapproval in the classroom. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Analysis*, 8(4), 367-372.

Chapter 7

- Algozzine, B., Barrett, S., Eber, L., George, H., Horner, R., Lewis, T., Putnam, B., Swain-Bradway, J., McIntosh, K., & Sugai, G (2014). *School-wide PBIS Tiered Fidelity Inventory*. OSEP Technical Assistance Center on Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports. www.pbis.org.
- Balfanz, R., Byrnes, V., and Fox, J. (2015). Sent home and put off track: The antecedents of being suspended in the 9th grade. In D. J. Losen (Ed.), *Closing the School Discipline Gap: Equitable remedies for excessive exclusion* (pp. 17-30). New York: Teachers College Press.
- Barrett, S., & Scott, T.M. (2006). *Evaluating as time saved as index of cost effectiveness in PBIS schools*. Eugene, OR: OSEP Technical Assistance Center on Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports. Retrieved from pbis.org/pbis_newsletter/volume_3/issue4.aspx
- Besser, L. & Almeda, L. (2008). *Decision Making for Results: Data-driven decision making*, (2nd ed.). Englewood, CO: Leadership and Learning Center.
- Boneshefski, M. J., & Runge, T. J. (2014). Addressing disproportionate discipline practices within a School-Wide Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports framework: A practical guide for calculating and using disproportionality rates. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 16, 149-158.
- Bowditch, C. (1993). Getting rid of troublemakers: High school disciplinary procedures and the prediction of dropouts. *Social Problems*, 40, pp. 493-509.
- Bradshaw, C. P., Mitchell, M. M., O'Brennan, L.M., and Leaf, P.J. (2010). Multilevel exploration of factors contributing to the overrepresentation of black students in office discipline referrals. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 102, 508-520
- Brophy, J.E., (1988). Research linking teacher behavior to student achievement: Potential implications for instruction of Chapter 1 student. *Educational Psychologist*, 23, 235-286.
- Campbell, D. (1975). Assessing the impact of planned social change. In Lyons, C. M. (ed) *Social research and public policies*. Hanover, New Hampshire: Public Affairs Center, Dartmouth College.

- Cornman, S.Q. (2014). *Revenues and expenditures for public elementary and secondary school districts, 2011-12 (fiscal year 2012)*. (NCES Publication Number 2014303) National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education. Washington, DC. Retrieved February 6, 2015 from <http://nces.ed.gov/pubsearch>.
- Denzin, N.K. (1978). *The research act: A theoretical introduction to sociological methods* (2nd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Fabelo, T., Thompson, M., Plotkin, M, Carmichael, D, Marchbanks, M. & Booth, E. (2011). Breaking schools' rules: A statewide study of how school discipline relates to students' success and juvenile justice involvement. Retrieved from <http://justicecenter.csg.org/resources/juveniles>.
- Fisher, C.W., Berliner, D.C., Filby, N.N., Marliave, R., Cahen, L.S., & Dishaw, M.M. (1980). Teaching behaviors, academic learning time, and student achievement: An overview. In C. Denham & A. Lieberman (Eds.), *Time to learn*. Washington, D.C.: National Institute of Education.
- Garmston, R.J., & Wellman, B.M. (1999). *The adaptive school: A sourcebook for developing collaborative groups*. Norwood, MA: Christopher-Gordon Publishers, Inc.
- Gilbert, T.F., (1978). *Human competence: Engineering worthy performance*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- Gregory, A., Skiba, R. J., & Noguera, P. A. (2010). The achievement gap and the discipline gap: Two sides of the same coin? *Educational Researcher*, 39(1), 59–68.
- Greytak, E. A., Kosciw, J. G., and Diaz, E. M. (2009). *Harsh realities: The experiences of transgender youth in our nation's schools*. New York: GLSEN.
- Hamilton, L., Halverson, R., Jackson, S., Mandinach, E., Supovitz, J., & Wayman, J. (2009). *Using student achievement data to support instructional decision making* (NCEE 2009-4067). Washington, DC: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. Retrieved from <http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/publications/practiceguides/>.
- Horner, R.H. (2011). *Moving PBS forward with quality, equity and efficiency*. Keynote: Eighth International Conference of the Association for Positive Behavior Support. Denver: CO.
- Horner, R. H., Sugai, G., & Todd, A.W. (2001). “Data” need not be a four-letter word: Using data to improve schoolwide discipline. *Beyond Behavior*, 11(1), 20-22.
- Horner, R. (March 8, 2016). Personal Communication.
- Irvin, L.K., Tobin, T., Sprague, J., Sugai, G. and Vincent, C. (2004). Validity of office discipline referral measures as indices of schoolwide behavioral status and effects of schoolwide behavioral interventions. *Journal of Positive Behavioral Interventions*, 6, 131-147.
- Kaufman, J., Jaser, S., Vaughan, E., Reynolds, J., Di Donato, J., Bernard, S., & Hernandez-Brereton, M. (n.d). Patterns in Office Referral Data by Grade, Race/Ethnicity, and Gender. *Journal Of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 12(1), 44-54.
- Kincaid, D., Childs, K., & George, H.P. (March 2010). *Schoolwide benchmarks of quality*. Unpublished instrument, University of South Florida.
- Lai, C. K., Hoffman, K. M., Nosek, B. A., & Greenwald, A. G. (2013). Reducing implicit prejudice. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 7, 315-330.
- Leadership and Learning Center, The (2010). *Data Teams Seminar*. 3rd ed.

- Lewis, T. J., & Sugai, G. (1999). Effective behavior support: A systems approach to proactive schoolwide management. *Focus on Exceptional Children*, 31(6), 1-24.
- Losen, D.J. (2011). *Discipline Policies, Successful Schools, and Racial Justice*. Boulder, CO: National Education Policy Center. Retrieved [date] from <http://nepc.colorado.edu/publication/discipline-policies>
- Mathews, S., McIntosh, K., Frank, J. L., & May, S. L. (2014). Critical features predicting sustained implementation of school-wide positive behavioral interventions and supports. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 16(3), 168-178. doi:10.1177/1098300713484065
- May, S., Ard, W. Jr., Todd, A.W., Horner, R.H., Glasgow, A & Sugai, G., (2003). *Schoolwide information system*. Eugene: University of Oregon, Educational and Community Supports.
- McIntosh, K., Barnes, A., Eliason, B., & Morris, K. (2014). *Using discipline data within SWPBIS to identify and address disproportionality: A guide for school teams*. OSEP Technical Assistance Center on Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports. www.pbis.org.
- McIntosh, K., Girvan, E., Horner, R., Smolkowski, K., & Sugai, G. (2014). *Recommendations for addressing discipline disproportionality in education*. OSEP Technical Assistance Center on Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports.
- McIntosh, K., & Goodman, S. (2016) *Integrated Multi-Tiered Systems of Support: Blending RTI and PBIS*. New York: The Guilford Press.
- McIntosh, K., Massar, M., Algozzine, R., Peshak George, H., Horner, R., Lewis, T., & Swain-Bradway, J. (2017) Technical adequacy of the SWPBIS Tiered Fidelity Inventory. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 19(1), pp. 3-13
- Means, B. Chen, E., DeBarger, A, & Padilla, C. (2011). *Teachers' ability to use data to inform instruction: Challenges and supports*. U.S. Department of Education, Office of Planning, Evaluation and Policy Development, Washington, D.C., 2011. Retrieved from <http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/opepd/ppss/reports.html>
- Mercer, S. H., McIntosh, K., & Hoselton, R. (2016). Comparability of fidelity measures for assessing tier 1 school-wide positive behavioral interventions and supports. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- Merriam, S. B. (2009). *Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation*. San Francisco, CA: Josey-Bass.
- Morris, E. W., & Perry, B. L. (2016). The punishment gap: School suspension and racial disparities in achievement. *Social Problems*, 63(1), spv026. doi:10.1093/socpro/spv026 <http://socpro.oxfordjournals.org/> on February 12, 2016.
- Newton, S. J., Horner, R. H., Algozzine, R. F., Todd, A. W., & Algozzine, K. M. (2009). Using a problem-solving model to enhance data-based decision making in schools. In W. Sailor, G. Dunlap, G. Sugai, R. Horner (Eds.) *Handbook of positive behavior support* (pp. 551-580). New York, NY: Springer Scienc & Business Media, LLC.
- October catch: McIntosh, K., Frank, J. L., & Spaulding, S. A. (2010). Establishing research-based trajectories of office discipline referrals for individual students. *School Psychology Review*, 39(3), 380.
- Pinkelman, S. E., McIntosh, K., Rasplica, C. K., Berg, T., & Strickland-Cohen, M. K. (2015). Perceived enablers and barriers related to sustainability of Schoolwide positive behavioral interventions and supports. *Behavioral Disorders*, (3), 171.

- Putnam, R.F., Horner, R. H., & Algozzine, R (2009). *Academic achievement and the implementation of Schoolwide Behavior Support*. Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports Newsletter, 3(1).http://pbis.org/pbis_newsletter/volume_3/issue1.aspx
- Scott, T.M., & Barrett, S.B. (2004). Using staff and student time engaged in disciplinary procedures to evaluate the impact of schoolwide PBS. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 6(1), p. 21-37.
- Shollenberger, T. (2015). Racial disparities in school suspension and subsequent outcomes: Evidence from the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth. In D. J. Losen (Ed.), *Closing the School Discipline Gap: Equitable remedies for excessive exclusion* (pp. 31-43). New York: Teachers College Press.
- Skiba, R. J., Horner, R. H., Chung, C-G., Rausch, M. K., May, L. L., & Tobin, T. L., (2011). Race is not neutral: A national investigation of African American and Latino disproportionality in school discipline. *School Psychology Review* 40(1), pp. 85-107.
- Skiba, R. J., & Peterson, R. L. (1999). The dark side of zero tolerance: Can punishment lead to safe schools? *Phi Delta Kappan*, 80, 372-382
- Spaulding, S.A., Irvin, L.K., Horner, R.H., May, S.L., Emeldi, M., Tobin, T.J., & Sugai, G. (2010). Schoolwide social-behavioral climate, student problem behavior, and related administrative decisions: Empirical patterns from 1,510 schools nationwide. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 20(10), 1-17.
- Sprague, J., Colvin, G., & Irvin, L. (2002). *The school safety survey (Version 2.0)*. Eugene, OR: The Institute on Violence and Destructive Behavior. Retrieved from pbis.org/evaluation/evaluation_tools.aspx
- Sprague, J., Colvin, G., Irvin, L., & Stieber, S. (1998). Assessing school safety in Oregon: How do school principals respond? *Effective school practices*, 17(2), 36-44.
- Sugai, G., Horner, R.H., & Todd, A.W. (2003). *Effective behavior support self-assessment survey (Version 2.0)*. Eugene, OR: University of Oregon, Educational and Community Supports. Retrieved from pbis.org/evaluation/evaluation_tools.aspx
- Sugai, G., Sprague, J. R., Horner, R. H., & Walker, H. M. (2000). Preventing school violence: The use of office discipline referrals to assess and monitor schoolwide discipline interventions. *Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders*, 8, 94-101.
- Todd, A.W., Horner, R.H., Newton, S.J., Algozzine, R.F., Algozzine, K.M., & Frank, J.L. (2011). Effects of team-initiated problem solving on decision making by schoolwide behavior support teams. *Journal of Applied School Psychology*, 27(1), 42-59.
- Todd, A.W., Lewis-Palmer, T., Horner, R.H., Sampson, N.K., & Phillips, D. (2005). *Schoolwide evaluation tool implementation manual*. Eugene, OR: University of Oregon, Educational and Community Supports. Retrieved from pbis.org/evaluation/evaluation_tools.aspx
- University of Oregon PBIS Workgroup. (July 2010a). *Growth in the cumulative number of ODRs in elementary school settings*. Evaluation brief. Educational and Community Supports, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon.
- U.S. Census Bureau, Public Education Finances: 2013,G13-ASPEF,U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 2015. Retrieved from <http://www2.census.gov/govs/school/13f33pub.pdf> on 2-19-2017

- Welch, K., & Payne, A. A. (2010). Racial threat and punitive school discipline. *Social Problems*, 57(1), 25. doi:10.1525/sp.2010.57.1.25.
- Vincent, C. G., Tobin, T. J., Swain-Bradway, J., & May, S. (2011) Disciplinary referrals for culturally and linguistically diverse students with and without disabilities: Patterns resulting from Schoolwide positive behavior support. *Exceptionality*, 19, 175-190.
- Vogt, E.E., Brown, J, & Isaacs, D. (2003). *The art of powerful questions: Catalyzing insight, innovation and action*. Mill Valley: CA., Whole Systems Associates. Retrieved from <http://www.theworldcafe.com/reading.htm>

Chapter 8

- Bowers, F.E., McGinnis, C., Ervin, R.A., & Friman, P.C. (1999). Merging research and practice: The example of positive peer reporting applied to social rejection. *Education and Treatment of Children*, 22, 218-226.
- Bowers, F.E., Woods, D.W., Carlyon, W.D., & Friman, P.C. (2000). Using positive peer reporting to improve the social interactions and acceptance of socially isolated adolescents in residential care: A systematic replication. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 33, 239-242.
- Breen & March. (2005). *Active supervision professional development activity: Assessment, observation tool and action plan*. Retrieved from [m http://schools.nyc.gov/documents/d75/inclusion/workshop_materials/Active_Super_Tool.pdf](http://schools.nyc.gov/documents/d75/inclusion/workshop_materials/Active_Super_Tool.pdf)
- Briere, D. E., Simonsen, B., Sugai, G., & Myers, D. (2015). Increasing New Teachers' Specific Praise Using a Within-School Consultation Intervention. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 17(1), 50-60.
- Brophy, J. (1998). *Motivating students to learn*. Boston: McGraw Hill.
- Brophy, J., & Good, T. (1986). Teacher behavior and student achievement. In *Handbook of research on teaching*, 3rd Ed. New York, NY: Macmillan.
- Carnine, D. W. (1976). Effects of two teacher-presentation rates on off-task behavior, answering correctly, and participation. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 9, 199-206.
- Cates, G. L., & Skinner, C. H. (2000). Getting remedial mathematics students to prefer homework with 40% more problems? An investigation of the strength of inerspersal procedure. *Psychology in the Schools*, 37, 339-347.
- Cavanaugh, R. A., Heward, W. L., & Donelson, F. (1996). Effects of response cards during lesson closure on the academic performance of secondary students in an earth science course. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 29, 403-406.
- Colvin, G. (2009). *Managing noncompliance and defiance in the classroom: A road map for teachers, specialists, and behavior support teams*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Council for Exceptional Children, (1987). *Academy for effective instruction: working with mildly handicapped students*. Reston, VA: Author.
- Denham, C., & Lieberman, P. (1980). *Time to learn*. Washington, DC: National Institute of Education.

- De Pry, R. L., & Sugai, G. (2002). The effect of active supervision and precorrection on minor behavioral incidents in a sixth grade general education classroom. *Journal of Behavioral Education, 11*(4), 255-267.
- Dunlap, G., Kern-Dunlap, L., Clarke, S., & Robbins, G. R. (1991). Functional assessment, curricular revision, and severe behavior problems. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 24*, 387-397.
- Ervin, R.A., Miller, P.M., & Friman, P.C. (1996). Feed the hungry bee: Using positive peer reports to improve the social interactions and acceptance of a socially rejected girl in a residential placement. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 29*, 251-253.
- Everston, C. M., & Emmer, E. T. (1982). *Preventive classroom management*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Fallon, L. M., Collier-Meek, M. A., Maggin, D. M., Sanetti, L. M., & Johnson, A. H. (2015). Is Performance Feedback for Educators an Evidence-Based Practice? A Systematic Review and Evaluation Based on Single-Case Research. *Exceptional Children, 81*(2), 227-246.
- Fischer, C. W., & Berliner, D. C. (Eds.). (1985). *Perspectives on instructional time*. New York, NY: Longman.
- Godfrey S.A, Grisham-Brown J, Schuster J.W. & Hemmeter, M.L. (2003). The effects of three techniques on student participation with preschool children with attending problems. *Education and Treatment of Children (26)* 255–272.
- Good, T.L. & Brophy, J.E., (2000). *Looking in Classrooms, 8th Ed.* New York, Longman.
- Grieger, T., Kaufman, J.M., & Grieger, R. (1976). Effects of peer reporting on cooperative play and aggression of kindergarten children. *Journal of School Psychology, 14*, 307-313.
- Haydon, T., & Musti-Rao, S. (2011). Effective Use of Behavior-Specific Praise: A Middle School Case Study. *Beyond Behavior, 20*(2), 31-39.
- Heward, W. L. (2006). *Exceptional Children: An introduction to special education* (8th Ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Heward, W. L., & Gardner, R. (1996). Everyone participates in this class: Using response cards to increase active student response. *Teaching Exceptional Children, 28*, 4-10.
- Iwaszuk, W. M., Lupo, J., & Wills, H. (under review). Classroom-based intervention at the high school level: A modification of the Class-Wide Function-related Intervention Teams (CW-FIT). *Behavior Modification*.
- Johnson, T. C., Stoner, G. & Green, S. K. (1996). Demonstrating the experimenting society model with classwide behavior management interventions. *School Psychology Review, 25*(2), 199-214.
- Jones, K.M., Young, M.M., & Friman, P.C. (2000). Increasing peer praise of socially rejected delinquent youth: Effects on cooperation and acceptance. *School Psychology Quarterly, 15*, 30-39.
- Kamps, D., Wills, H., Heitzman-Powell, L., Laylin, J., Szoke, C., Hobohm, T., & Culey, A. (2011). Class-Wide Function-Related Intervention Teams: Effects of group contingency programs in urban classrooms. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions, 13*, 154-167.
- Karweit, N. (1988) Time-on-task: The second time around. *NASSP Bulletin, 72*(505), 31-39.
- Kern, L. and Clemens, N.H. (2007). Antecedent strategies to promote appropriate classroom behavior. *Psychology in the Schools, 44*(1), 65-75.

- Kern, L., & State, T. M. (2009). Incorporating choice and preferred activities into classwide instruction. *Beyond Behavior, 18*(2), 3-11.
- Kern, L., Vorndran, C., Hilt, A., Ringdahl, J., Adelman, B., & Dunlap, G. (1998). Choice as an intervention to improve behavior: A review of the literature. *Journal of Behavioral Education, 8*, 151-169.
- Kounin, J. (1970). *Discipline and group management in classrooms*. New York, NY: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Lampi, A.R., Fenti, N. S., & Beaunae, C. (2005). Makin the three p's easier: Praise proximity, and precorrection. *Beyond Behavior, 15*(1), 8-12.
- Leinhardt, G., Weidman, C., & Hammond, K. M. (1987). Introduction and integration of classroom routines by expert teachers. *Curriculum Inquiry, 17*(2), 135-176
- Lewis, T. J., Newcomer, L.L., Trussell, R., & Richter, M. (2006). Schoolwide positive behavior support: Building systems to develop and maintain appropriate social behavior. In C. S. Everston & C. M. Weinstein (Eds.) *Handbook of classroom management: Research, practice, and contemporary issues*. New York: Lawrence Earlbaum Associates Publishing.
- Logan, P., & Skinner, C. H. (1998). Improving students' perceptions of a mathematics assignment by increasing problem completion rates: Is problem completion a reinforcing event? *School Psychology Quarterly, 13*(4), 322-331.
- MacSuga, A. S., & Simonsen, B. (2011). Increasing teachers' use of evidence-based classroom management strategies through consultation: Overview and case studies. *Beyond Behavior, 20*(11), 4-12.
- Miller, S.P. (2009). *Validated practices for teaching students with diverse needs and abilities*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education.
- Reinke, W. M., Herman, K. C., & Stormont, M. (2013). Classroom-level positive behavior supports in schools implementing SW-PBIS: Identifying areas for enhancement. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions, 15*(1), 39-50.
- Reiser, R. A., & Dempsey, J. (2007). *Trends and issues in instructional design and technology* (2nd Ed., pp. 94-131). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education
- Robinson, S.L. (1998). Effects of positive statements made by peers on peer interactions and social status of children in a residential treatment setting. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Mississippi State University, Starkville.
- Rowe, M. (1974). Wait-time and rewards as instructional variables, their influence on language, logic, and fate control, Part 1: Wait time. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 17*, 469-475.
- Rowe, M. (1987) Wait time: Slowing down may be a way of speeding up. *American Educator, 11*, 38-43.
- Scheuermann, B. K. and Hall, J. A. (2008). *Positive behavioral supports for the classroom*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Merrill Prentice Hall.
- Scott, T. M. Anderson, C. M., & Alter, P. (2012). *Managing classroom behavior using positive behavior supports*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Simonsen, B., Fairbanks, S., Briesch, A., Myers, D. & Sugai, G. (2008). Evidence-based practices in classroom management: Considerations for research to practice. *Education and Treatment of Children, 31*(3), pp. 351-380.

- Simonsen, B., MacSuga, A. S., Fallon, L. M., & Sugai, G. (2012). The Effects of Self-Monitoring on Teachers' Use of Specific Praise. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, vol 15(1), 5-15.
- Simonsen, B., Myers, D., & DeLuca, C. (2010). Providing teachers with training and performance feedback to increase use of three classroom management skills: Prompts, opportunities to respond, and reinforcement. *Teacher Education in Special Education*, 33, 300-318
- Skinner, C. H., Belfior, P. J., Mace, H. W., Williams-Wilson, S., Johns, G. A. (1997). Altering response topography to increase response efficiency and learning rates. *School Psychology Quarterly*, 12, 54-64.
- Skinner, C.H., Cashwell, T.H., & Skinner, A.L. (2000). Increasing tootling: The effects of a peer monitored group contingency program on students' reports of peers' prosocial behaviors. *Psychology in the Schools*, 37, 263-270.
- Skinner, C. H., Hurst, K. L., Teeple, D. F., & Meadows, S. O. (2002). Increasing on-task behavior during mathematics independent seatwork in students with emotional disorders by interspersing additional brief problems. *Psychology in the Schools*, 39, 647-659.
- Skinner, C. H., Neddneriep, C. E., Robinson, S. L., Ervin, R., & Jones, K. (2002). Altering educational environments through positive peer reporting: Prevention and remediation of social problems associated with behavior disorders. *Psychology in the Schools*, 39(2), 191-202.
- Skinner, C. H., Pappas, D. N., & Davis, K. A. (2005). Enhancing academic engagement: Providing opportunities for responding and influencing students to choose to respond. *Psychology in the Schools*, 42(4), 389-403.
- Skinner, C. H., Smith, E. S., & McLean, J. E. (1994). The effects on intertribal interval duration on sight-word learning rates of children with behavioral disorders. *Behavioral Disorders*, 19, 98-107.
- Sprick, R., Knight, J., Reinke, W. & McKale, T. (2006). *Coaching classroom management: Strategies and tools for administrators and coaches*. Eugene, OR: Pacific Northwest Publishing.
- Stormont, M., Reinke, W.M., Herman K.C. & Lembke, E.S. (2012). *Academic and Behavior Supports for At-Risk Students: Tier 2 Interventions*. New York, NY: The Guilford Press.
- Sutherland, K. S., Adler, N., & Gunter P. L. (2003). The effect of varying rates of opportunities to respond on academic request on the classroom behavior of students with EBD. *Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders* (11), 239-248.
- Sutherland, K. S., & Wehby, J. H. (2001). Exploring the relationship between increased opportunities to respond to academic requests and the academic and behavioral outcomes of student with EBD: A review. *Remedial and Special Education*, (22), 113-121.
- University of Kansas Center for Research, Inc. (2017). A multi-site efficacy trial of the class-wide function-related intervention teams "CW-FIT": A research to practice agency for students with and at risk for EBD. Retrieved from <https://cwfit.ku.edu/research>.
- Walberg, H. (1988). Synthesis of research on time and learning. *Educational Leadership* 45(6), 76-85.
- West, R. P., & Sloane, H. N. (1986). Teacher presentation rate and point delivery rate: Effect on classroom disruption, performance, accuracy, and response rate. *Behavior Modification*, 10, 267-286.
- Wills, H. P., Kamps, D., Hansen, B. D., Conklin, C., Bellinger, S., Neaderhiser, J. & Nsubuga, B. (2010). The class-wide function-based intervention team (CW-FIT) program. *Preventing School Failure*, 54, 164-171.

Chapter 9

- Alexander, G. (2010). Behavioural coaching – the GROW model. In J. Passmore (ed.), *Excellence in coaching: The industry guide* (pp. 83-93). London: Kogan Page.
- Blase, K. A., & Fixsen, D. L. (2005, Summer). The National Implementation Research Network: Improving the science and practice of implementation. *CYF News*, pp. 8-12.
- Hiralall, A. S., & Martens, B. K. (1998). Teaching classroom management skills to preschool staff: The effects of scripted instructional sequences on teacher and student behavior. *School Psychology Quarterly*, 13(2), 94.
- Harn, B., Parisi, D., & Stoolmiller, M. (2013). Balancing fidelity with flexibility and fit: What do we really know about fidelity of implementation in schools? *Exceptional Children*, 79(2), 181-193.
- Ismat, A. (1996). *Making time for teacher professional development*. Eric Clearinghouse on Teaching and Teacher Education. Retrieved April 13, 2012 from <http://www.ericdigests.org/1997-2/time.htm>
- Johnson, N. W. (2007). *Peer coaching: A collegial support for bridging the research to practice gap* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Missouri-Columbia).
- Joyce, B., & Showers, B. (2002). *Student achievement through staff development*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- MO Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE) (2013). *Professional Learning Guidelines for Student Success*.
- MO DESE SPDG (2015). *School Based Implementation Fidelity Checklist*.
- Nobori, M. (2011). *How principals can grow teacher excellence*. Edutopia. Retrieved March 7, 2012 from <http://www.edutopia.org/stw-school-turnaround-principal-teacher-developments-tips>
- Noonan, P., Langham, A., & Gaumer Erickson, A. (2013). *Observation Checklist for High-Quality Professional Development Training*.
- Simonsen, B., MacSuga-Gage, A.S., Briere III, D.E., Freeman, J., Myers, D., Scott, T.M., & Sugai, G. (2014). Multitiered support framework for teachers' classroom-management practices: Overview and case study of building the trainable for teachers. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 16, 179-190.
- Simonsen, B., MacSuga, A. S., Fallon, L. M., & Sugai, G. (2013). Teacher self-monitoring to increase specific praise rates. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 15(1), 5-15.
- Whitmore, J., (1992). *Coaching for performance*. London: Nicholas Brealy.

Online Resources

Missouri Schoolwide Positive Behavior Support

- <http://pbissmissouri.org>

National Center for Positive Behavior Support

- <http://www.pbis.org/>

Association for Positive Behavior Support

- <http://apbs.org>

St. Louis Special School District PBIS Compendium

- <http://pbiscompendium.ssd.k12.mo.us/>

SW-PBS RESOURCES BY PBIS PARTNERS

University of Oregon Education and Community Supports

- <http://www.uoecs.org>

Institute for the Development of Educational Achievement

- <http://idea.uoregon.edu>

Arizona Behavior Initiative

- <http://www.pbisaz.org/>

Florida's Positive Behavior Support Project

- <http://flpbs.fmhi.usf.edu/>

Illinois Positive Behavior Support Project

- www.pbisillinois.org

Maryland's PBIS website

- <http://www.pbismaryland.org>

New Hampshire Center for Effective Behavioral Interventions & Supports

- <http://www.nhcebis.seresc.net/>

Colorado PBS

- <http://www.cde.state.co.us/pbs/>

Connecticut Positive Behavior Support

- <http://pbs.ctserc.com/>

Delaware Positive Behavior Support Project

- <http://www.delawarepbs.org/>

Georgia Department of Education Positive Behavior Support

- http://public.doe.k12.ga.us/ci_exceptional.aspx?PageReq=CIEXCPBS

Institute for Positive Behavior Support

- <http://www.kipbs.org>

North Carolina

- <http://www.ncpublicschools.org/positivebehavior/>

OTHER ONLINE RESOURCES

Online library of Missouri Guidance Curriculum based at Central Missouri University

- <http://resources.mcce.org/>

National Implementation Research Network

- <http://www.fpg.unc.edu/~nirn/>

Office of Special Education and Rehabilitation Services

- <http://www.ed.gov/about/offices/list/osers/osep/index.html>

Missouri Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

- <http://dese.mo.gov/>

Institute of Educational Sciences (IES)

- <http://www.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ies/index.html>

RTI Action Network

- <http://www.rtinetwork.org/>

National Center on Response to Intervention

- <http://www.rti4success.org/>

Michigan's Integrated Behavior and Learning Support Initiative

- <http://www.cenmi.org/miblsi/Home.aspx>

National Center for Culturally Responsive Educational Systems

- <http://www.nccrest.org/index.html>

Center for Evidence-Based Practice: Young Children with Challenging Behavior

- www.challengingbehavior.org

University of Louisville:

- https://mail.umsystem.edu/owa/redir.aspx?C=1M5BEEuzJkGnitIG8bfPIWuiDtnN588I_2B9bl1dpGMjk0RymUWOLj9ckQA5k-oAyzcuIEHlC8o.&URL=http%3a%2f%2flouisville.edu%2feducation%2fabri%2ftraining.html

Glossary of Terms

A

Acquisition: First phase of learning. When a student can perform a newly learned behavior.

Aggregate: To collect and summarize all data together, undifferentiated by subgroups.

Antecedent: Events that happen immediately before and trigger a behavior

Applied Behavior Analysis (ABA): The design, implementation, and evaluation of environmental modifications to produce socially significant improvement in behavior.

Active Supervision: Strategy for monitoring a large area (i.e., classroom, hallway, playground) that involves scanning, moving, and interacting.

Antecedent: Conditions or circumstances that alter the probability of a behavior occurring.

Always Applicable: Defining schoolwide and classroom rules that can be used every day.

Aversive Stimulus: A (negative) stimulus or event that can increase (when it is an antecedent) or decrease (when a consequence) a behavior.

B

Baseline Data: The current level of functioning that is compared to the phase immediately following an intervention.

Behavior: Any observable and measurable act of an individual.

Behaviors/Rules: Specific tasks students are to do to achieve the schoolwide expectations.

Behavior Intervention Plan (BIP): A written description that defines how an educational setting will be changed to improve the behavioral success of the student.

Big 5 Data Report: An office discipline report that charts frequencies of office discipline referrals by incident, behavior, location, time of day, and students.

Big 5 Generator: A MO SW-PBS developed electronic data management system that collects and charts office discipline referral frequencies by incident, behavior, location and time of day.

C

Chronic Behaviors: Persistent behaviors that are repeated or reoccurring over a period of time; the behavior has persisted for a while.

Coaching: Job embedded professional learning provided to support implementation of new skills and practices, frequently involves modeling, observing and providing feedback.

Common Formative Assessments: Assessments developed collaboratively by teams of teachers that are given to students across the grade or content level, and are used to monitor student progress and inform midcourse correction.

Composition Metrics: This metric shows the percentage of total outcomes experienced by subgroup relative to the percentage of the total enrollment made up by that subgroup.

$$\frac{\text{Total Number of Outcomes by the Subgroup}}{\text{Total Number of Outcomes by all Students}} \quad \text{Compared to} \quad \frac{\text{Number of students enrolled in subgroup}}{\text{Number of Students Enrolled in School}}$$

Consequence: The resulting event or outcome that occurs immediately following the behavior. May increase, maintain or decrease the likelihood of future behavior.

D

Data: Information used to make decisions, including records of behavioral incidents, attendance, tardies, achievement, staff and student perceptions and others.

Data Based Decision Making: A systematic process for analysis of information that leads to action steps.

Data Collection Tool: A MO SW-PBS developed electronic data management system that collects and summarizes office discipline referral frequencies by incident, behavior, location, time of day, student, possible motivation, others involved, staff, race and ethnicity, and others.

Didactic Training: Also known as direct training, is training which includes content, rationale, demonstration, practice, and feedback components.

Discipline: Teacher actions that support acceptable behavior and reduce the need for further intervention.

Disaggregate: To separate and present data by subgroups.

Disproportionality: To treat categories inequitably, as when categories of students experience different disciplinary consequences for similar offenses.

Duration: A measurement of how long a behavior occurs

E

End of Year Reports (EOY): Reports available from MO SW-PBS that aggregates data from a variety of sources, to provide a complete assessment of the state of the school.

Engaged Time: The amount of instructional time where students are actively engaged in learning.

Environment: The physical, social, academic and emotional conditions that exist for the student. This can refer to the classroom environment, school environment, the home environment, etc.

Environmentally Mediated: Manipulation of the full set of stimulus conditions in an environment which controls a target behavior.

Expectations: 3-5 words that define the kind of people you want your students to be.

Externalizing Behaviors: Behavior problems that are observable and overt, often directed toward people and/or objects in the social environment.

Extinction: Withholding reinforcement for a previously reinforced behavior to reduce the occurrence of the behavior.

Evidence-based Practice: A process intended to link evidence with ethical and practical/application issues when making decisions about practices and interventions.

F

Fidelity: Delivery of the intervention in the way in which it was designed to be delivered.

Fluency: Second phase of learning. When a task/skill is performed without error or interruption in a change of behaviors.

Formative Data: Data used to monitor progress; used to make mid-course corrections during a cycle, lesson, unit, program, or intervention.

Frequency or rate (of behavior): The number of times a behavior occurs during a set period of time.

Function of Behavior: The need fulfilled through the performance of a specific behavior. The function of behavior can be categorized as behavior to obtain (attention, tangible item) or avoid (attention, task, stimulus).

Function-based: Refers to a consequence that increases the likelihood that a behavior will be performed.

Functional Behavior Assessment (FBA): A process for identifying the events that predict the occurrence and maintenance of a behavior.

G

General case (programming): The design of instruction for students to perform of a task with any member of a class of stimuli.

Generalization: Fourth phase of learning where behavior occurs under different conditions other than those taught (people, settings, etc.)

H

High Risk: Typically describes students who have excessive rates of problem behavior, or especially intense problem behaviors, and will likely require intensive, rather than targeted, intervention.

I

Individualized Education Plan (IEP): A document that details the goals and objectives for a student's yearly educational plan.

Input Data: Data to monitor or evaluate adult actions; fidelity of implementation data; cause data.

Instructional Time: The amount of the allocated time that actually results in teaching.

Intense Behavior: The force or magnitude of the behavior's impact on the classroom environment.

Intensive (Tertiary) Interventions: Interventions that provide support to students with the most severe risk factors and who display chronic/repetitive patterns of behavior.

Internalizing Behaviors: Behavior problems that the students directs inwardly toward him or herself.

In-vivo support: In-vivo or in a real life situation support can include the coach providing modeling, coaching and/or feedback while instruction is occurring during a teacher's classroom instruction.

J

Job Embedded Professional Development (JEPD): Professional development opportunities that occur in an authentic context (i.e., with students).

L

Lawful Behavior: Relationships between events that occur naturally that predict behavior and identify associated environmental antecedents and consequences.

Learning: A durable change in behavior associated with environmental conditions.

Levels of Learning: Hierarchies of learning in cognitive, affective, and psychomotor areas that classify possible learning outcomes in terms of increasingly abstract levels and include acquisition, fluency, maintenance, generalization, and adaptation.

M

Maintenance: The third phase of learning. The ability to perform a behavior over time.

Measureable: Defining schoolwide or classroom behaviors that could be counted.

Modeling: The demonstration of behavior. May be used to prompt or teach a behavior.

MO SW-PBS Data Based Decision Making (DBDM) Process: A decision making process that can guide teams in making data based decisions

MO SW-PBS Universal Tier 1 Checklist: A Checklist developed by MO SW-PBS, to assist teams in determining fidelity of implementation of tier 1 universal systems and practices, and to identify needs for action planning.

MO SW-PBS School Outcomes Data: Provides information on outcomes for students, especially for students with disabilities, or who are referred for additional academic or behavioral supports. Supplements data collected throughout the year, and is a critical source of information for the MO SW-PBS End of Year Reports that are provided to school. Submitted to moswpbs@missouri.edu in June of each school year.

Multi-User Survey: A survey which includes many respondents. Such surveys include the SAS and SSS.

N

Natural Reinforcement: Reinforcement that is the direct result of that behavior.

Negative Punishment: Removal of a stimulus immediately following a behavior that decreases the

likelihood of behavior occurring in the future.

Negative Reinforcement: Removal of a stimulus preceding a behavior that increases the likelihood of behavior occurring in the future.

Norms: Protocols and commitments developed by each team to guide members in working together. Norms help team members clarify expectations regarding how they will work together to achieve their shared goals.

O

Observable: Defining schoolwide and classroom rules that are behaviors that can be seen.

Observation: Formative or summative assessment of a teacher or student, can be formal or informal. Typically longer in duration than a walkthrough.

ODR (Office Discipline Referral): Usually the result of a “major” discipline violation, the ODR refers to the paperwork associated with sending a student to the office to receive a consequence as the result of problem behavior.

Operational Definition: A descriptive statement that specifically identifies commonly agreed upon behavior that is directly observable and measurable.

Opportunity Costs: Resources spent on one activity is not available for other activities.

Outcome Data: Data gathered to monitor or evaluate progress toward desired outcomes or goals; effect data.

P

Permanent Products: Items to be reviewed as evidence of meeting a goal. Permanent products can include writing samples, completed assignments, drawings, etc. When using permanent products as consideration for goal achievement, quality of the item should be considered.

Person Centered Planning: A team-based planning process for an individual’s future goals that focuses on strengths and abilities of the individual and his or her inclusion within community life.

PBIS APPS: A web based survey and data collection site operated by the University of Oregon’s Educational and Community Supports (ECS). Applications include The SWIS Suite, PBIS Assessments, PBIS Evaluation. <https://www.pbisapps.org/Pages/Default.aspx>

PBIS Assessments: An application within PBIS Apps that allows users to take a number of SW-PBS surveys.

Phases of Learning: Sequential stages in gaining skill mastery that include: a) acquisition, b) fluency, c) maintenance, and d) generalization.

Poor Response to Intervention: A review of data shows there is a gap between the trend line and the student’s goal line that continues to widen.

Positive Behavior Support (PBS): A broad range of systematic and individualized strategies to achieve important social and learning outcomes while preventing problem behavior among all students.

Positively Stated: Creating rules that tell students what to do to be successful.

Positive Reinforcement: Presentation of a stimulus immediately following a behavior that increases the likelihood of behavior occurring in the future.

Positive Response to Interventions: Data indicates the student is making progress toward his/her goal and will reach the goal within a reasonable amount of time.

Practices: Strategies and interventions schools put in place to support students.

Pre-correction: Reminders before entering a setting or performing a task to promote successful demonstration of expected behaviors.

Primary (Universal) Interventions: Preventative, universal supports implemented with all students that promote safety, positive school culture, and an effective learning environment at the whole school level.

Problem Behavior: Behavior which is inconsistent with the expectations for the environment. For example, yelling is a problem behavior in a library, but not necessarily on a playground. Some problem behavior can be undesirable across settings, such as hitting or hurting others.

Procedures: Methods or process for how things are done in non-classroom settings and in each classroom.

Prompt: A stimulus (reminder, hint, or cue) that increases the probability the correct response will be emitted.

Punishment: A stimulus that decreases the future rate or probability of the response.

Q

Questionable Response to Interventions: A review of data shows there is a gap between the trend line and the student's goal line that may not be widening but closure may not occur in an acceptable amount of time.

R

Read Only (PBIS Assessments): Refers to a level of access in a PBIS Assessments account. Individuals with read only access can log into PBIS Assessments, and pull reports for surveys associated with their organization.

Reinforcement: A stimulus that increases or maintains the future rate of probability of occurrence of a behavior.

Reliability: The degree of accuracy or consistency in measurement procedures.

Response to Intervention: “the practice of providing high-quality instruction and interventions matched to student need, monitoring progress frequently to make decisions about changes in instruction or goals, and applying child response data to important educational decisions” (Batsche et al., 2005).

Risk Index: The probability that membership in a certain group will result in experiencing certain

$$\frac{\text{Number of students in subgroup with 1 or more target outcomes}}{\text{Number of subgroup enrolled}} = \text{Risk Index}$$

outcomes.

Risk Ratio: A measure of the likelihood of an outcome occurring for a target group relative to a comparison group. Calculated by dividing the risk index of the target group by the risk index of the comparison group. The risk ratio is considered to be a more stable metric for monitoring

$$\frac{\text{Risk Index of Target Group}}{\text{Risk Interest of Comparison Group}} = \text{Risk Ratio}$$

disproportionality than is the risk index.

S

Self-Management: Having an individual monitor, record and/or report their own behavior.

Setting Events: Conditions or circumstances that alter the probability of a behavior occurring. Events that happen outside of the immediate routine that commonly make the problem behavior more likely.

Single User Survey: A survey for which only one response is entered into the survey site, such as the TFI, BoQ, and SET.

Social Reinforcement: Social behaviors (i.e., smiles, praise) that increase the frequency or rate of behavior occurrence.

Social Skills: Learned behaviors which can be verbal and non-verbal, requiring both initiations and responses (interactive), and are highly contextual. The five broad dimensions of social skills include: Peer Relations Skills, Self-Management Skills, Cooperation or Compliance Skills, Assertion Skills, and Academic Skills.

Social Validity: the acceptability or relevance of a program or procedures to its consumers.

Stability: The consistency of performance measured, sometimes referred to as overlap when performance is compared between research study phases.

Standardized: Following a specifically prescribed protocol, frequently a process or instrument that has been 'normed' on a specific population to be reliable to a specific degree when used as instructed.

Stimulus: An object or event that may occasion a response.

Summary Statement: The summary statement narrows down all the assessment information gathered into one or two succinct statements that allow the team to develop strategies based on the summary. A summary statement usually includes a) problem behavior, b) triggering antecedent, c) maintaining

consequences, and d) setting events.

Summative Data: Data that is collected and reviewed in order to evaluate the effects of the steps that were taken to determine whether the desired outcomes were achieved.

Sustainability: The process of maintaining fidelity, through inevitable changes, so a practice continues to be effective in the long term.

Systems: Strategies and interventions schools put in place to support adults in the school setting.

T

Target Behavior: The focus behavior to change.

Targeted (Secondary) Interventions: Interventions available for students who are at risk for severe problem behaviors, engaging in problem behavior beyond an acceptable level, and need more support than the primary (universal) interventions provided.

Targeted Interventions: Interventions available for students who are at risk for severe problem behaviors, engaging in problem behavior beyond an acceptable level, and need more support than the primary (universal) interventions provided.

Task Analysis: Breaking complex behavior into its component parts to teach individuals to perform complex behavior and sequences/chains of behaviors.

Teaching: Systematic manipulations of instructional and social variables that create a change in behavior.

Teacher Mediated: Teacher manipulation of stimuli to control a target behavior.

Team Member (PBIS Assessments): Refers to a level of access in a PBIS Assessments account. Individuals with Team Member access can log in, copy multi-user survey links to send to stakeholders, enter responses for single user surveys, and pull survey reports for their organization.

Three-tiered Model: A mental health approach to identify and address the needs of all student populations at three levels of interventions (primary, secondary and tertiary).

Time-out from Positive Reinforcement: A procedure that serves as a punishment by denying a student, for a fixed period of time, the opportunity to receive reinforcement.

Trend (in data): An indication of a distinctive direction in the performance of a behavior.

Triangulation: In social sciences, the process of checking results or conclusions from one data set against the results or conclusions from two or more other data sets.

U

Understandable: Defining schoolwide and classroom rules using student-friendly language.

Universal Interventions: Preventative, universal supports implemented with all students that promote safety, positive school culture, and an effective learning environment at the whole school level.

V

Validity: The extent to which an instrument or procedures demonstrates soundness. Internal validity is the extent to which the instrument or procedures assesses behavior in the domain of interest. External validity is the extent to which the outcomes of the FBA/FA predict future occurrences of behavior and result in support plans that work.

Variability: Visual description of data. The range of highest to lowest performance measured.

W

Walkthrough: Brief classroom observations that, when combined, provide a snapshot of the practices that are occurring in the classroom or school; may yield summative or formative data.

Wrap-around: A process for planning the delivery of services that is provided by agencies and professionals in collaboration with families for students with intensive/tertiary support needs.

MO SW-PBS Abbreviation/Acronym Glossary

Schoolwide Positive Behavior Support (SW-PBS) includes specialized vocabulary. Ensuring all stakeholders in your school community are communicating clearly is an important component of maintaining a common philosophy and purpose. Frequently used abbreviations and acronyms are included here for your reference. Your team may consider including this list in your staff handbook, or otherwise communicating the information to the members of your school community.

Abbreviation / Acronym	Meaning
A	Achieved – Used when reviewing status of action plan steps.
ABA	Applied Behavior Analysis
ABC	Antecedent -> Behavior -> Consequence
APBS	Association for Positive Behavior Support
BEP	Behavior Education Plan
BIP	Behavior Improvement Plan
BST	Behavior Support Team
BoQ	Benchmarks of Quality
CICO	Check-in/Check-out
DBDM	Data Based Decision Making
DESE	Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
EBS	Effective Behavioral Supports
EBS	Effective Behavior Support Survey (also known as the Self-Assessment Survey (SAS))
EEOC	Equal Employment Opportunity Commission
EOY	End of Year Report
F	Fully in place – Used when reviewing status of action plan steps.
FA	Functional Analysis
FACTS	Functional Assessment Checklist for Teachers
FBA	Functional-based Assessment
I	In progress – Used when reviewing status of action plan steps.
IEP	Individualized Education Program
IP	In place
ISS	In-School Suspension
JEPD	Job Embedded Professional Development
LGBTQ	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, and Questioning
MAP	Missouri Assessment Program
MO SW-PBS	Missouri Schoolwide Positive Behavior Support

Abbreviation / Acronym	Meaning
MU	University of Missouri
N	Not achieved – Used when reviewing status of action plan steps.
ODR	Office Discipline Referral
OMP UA	Observable, Measureable, Positively Stated, Understandable, Always Applicable
OSEP	Office of Special Education Programs in the U.S. Department of Education
OSS	Out-of-School Suspension
OTR	Opportunities to Respond
PBIS	Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports
PD	Professional Development
RtI	Response to Intervention
SAS	Self-Assessment Survey (PBIS Assessments)
SDP	School Data Profile
SES	Socio-Economic Status
SET	Schoolwide Evaluation Tool (external observation tool in PBIS Apps)
SIP	School Improvement Plan
SPED	Special Education
SPP	State Performance Plan
Ss	Represents the word Students on Twitter chat
SSS	School Safety Survey (PBIS Apps)
SWIS	School Wide Information Systems (PBIS Apps)
SW-PBS	Schoolwide Positive Behavior Support
T1	Tier 1 (Universal Support)
T2	Tier 2 (Targeted Group Support)
T3	Tier 3 (Targeted Individual Support)
TFI	Tiered Fidelity Inventory
TIC	Team Implementation Checklist
Ts	Represents the word Teachers on Twitter chat
VP	Vice Principal